



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 08/984,178 | 12/03/1997 | H.ROBERT HORVITZ | 01997198006 | 1856 | |
| 75 | 590 06/27/2002 | | | | |
| KRISTINA B | IEKER BRADY PHD | EXAMINER | | | |
| CLARK & ELE 176 FEDERAL | STREET | | SHUKLA, RAM R | | |
| BOSTON, MA 02110 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1632 | 92 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002 | DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Communication Re: Appeal | 08/984,178 | HORVITZ ET AL. | | | | |
| Communication No. Appear | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ram R Shukla | 1632 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| 1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not acceptable because: | | | | | | |
| (a) it was not timely filed. | | | | | | |
| (b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(b). | | | | | | |
| (c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed. | | | | | | |
| (d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$ | | | | | | |
| (e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.191 in that there is no record of a second or a final rejection in this application. | | | | | | |
| (f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on | | | | | | |
| 2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below: | | | | | | |
| (a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 1.192. | | | | | | |
| (b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(c). | | | | | | |
| (c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficie | (c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$ | | | | | |
| The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). | | | | | | |
| 3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED because | use: | | | | | |
| (a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 1.17(c) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired. | | | | | | |
| (b) the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired. | | | | | | |
| (c) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on | | | | | | |
| (d) | | | | | | |
| 4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this applica | ation: | | | | | |
| (a) is abandoned because there are no allowed claims. | | | | | | |
| (b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED. | | | | | | |
| (c) is before the examiner for consideration of the submission and prosecution has been reopened purs to 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| OUT OF INTER | Ran | R. Shuhl | | | | |
| | | R. SHUKLA, PH.D ENT EXAMINER | | | | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 08/984,178 | HORVITZ ET AL. | | | | |
| merview dummary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ram R Shukla | 1632 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) Ram R Shukla. | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) Kristina Bieker-Brady. | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 19 June 2002. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims_f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Bieker-Brady stated that they have not received the response to the petition to revive filed earlier and that no appeal brief was filed. Ms. Bieker-Brady further stated that the office should take appropriate action and she would respond to the action appropriately. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). | | | | | | |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required